

Semiclassical Quantization on Black Hole Spacetimes

R. Casadio, B. Harms and Y. Leblanc

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Alabama,
Box 87034 Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0324 USA*

The microcanonical treatment of black holes as opposed to the canonical formulation is reviewed and some major differences are displayed. In particular the black hole decay rates are compared in the two different pictures.

The treatment of black holes as thermodynamical systems has many mathematical and physical inconsistencies and drawbacks. In this approach the specific heat turns out to be negative, which is a clear signal that the thermodynamical analogy fails. A second problem is that the partition function as calculated from the microcanonical density of states is infinite² for all temperatures and hence the canonical ensemble is not equivalent to the (more fundamental) microcanonical ensemble, as is required for thermodynamical equilibrium.

Black holes can be shown to radiate¹ and in the thermodynamical approach the radiation coming out of the black hole has a Planckian distribution. Since black holes can in principle radiate away completely, this result implies that information can be lost, because pure states can come into the black hole but only mixed states come out. The breakdown of the unitarity principle is one of the most serious drawbacks of the thermodynamical interpretation, since it requires the replacement of quantum mechanics with some new (unspecified) physics.

We have investigated²⁻⁵ an alternative description of black holes which is free of the problems encountered in the thermodynamical approach. In our approach black holes are considered to be extended quantum objects (p -branes) with degeneracy proportional to the inverse of the probability to tunnel through the black hole's horizon, $\sigma \simeq c e^{A/4}$. Explicit expressions for σ can be obtained for some geometries, *e.g.* the D -dimensional Schwarzschild black hole with mass M ,

$$\sigma(M) \sim e^{C(D) M^{D-2/D-3}}. \quad (1)$$

where $C(D)$ is a mass-independent function. An exponentially rising density of states is the clear signal of a non-local field theory⁶. Comparing Eq. (1) to those known for non-local field theories, we find that it corresponds to the degeneracy of states for a p -brane of dimension $p = (D - 2)/(D - 4)$.

A second example is the Kerr-Newman dilaton black hole^{3,4}. An analysis of the microcanonical density $\Omega(M, J, Q; V)$ of a gas of such black holes in a volume V shows that the most probable configuration is one massive black hole which carries all the charge and angular momentum and is surrounded by $n - 1$ lighter, Schwarzschild black holes. This is the statistical mechanical model of a black hole (the most massive one in the system) and its associated radiation (whose quanta are represented by the lighter black holes in the gas). The bootstrap condition⁷ is

satisfied,

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega(M, Q, J; V, a)}{\sigma_{KND}(M, Q, J; a)} = 1 , \quad (2)$$

To study particle production and propagation in black hole geometries we apply the mean field approximation where fields are quantized on a classical black hole background. The canonical formulation in which black holes are assumed to have fixed temperature β_H^{-1} leads to the number density given by the Planckian distribution for Hawking radiation and the corresponding partition function violates Hagedorn's self-consistency condition (see ^{7,4}). Thus the black hole system is not in thermal equilibrium, and the thermal vacuum $|\beta_H\rangle$ is the false vacuum for a black hole. The true vacuum $|E\rangle$ can be obtained by considering black holes as having fixed energy E . In the microcanonical ensemble ⁸ the vacuum state is

$$|E\rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega(E)} \int_0^E \Omega(E - E') L_{E-E'}^{-1} [|\beta_H\rangle] dE' , \quad (3)$$

where L^{-1} is the inverse Laplace transform, and the microcanonical number density is

$$n_E(\omega) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega(E - l\omega(m))}{\Omega(E)} \theta(E - l\omega) , \quad (4)$$

which is our candidate alternative to the Planckian distribution for particles emitted by a black hole.

To test the local properties of the spacetime which corresponds to the microcanonical vacuum $|E\rangle$ we study the propagation of waves as probes. If we now consider waves propagating on a Schwarzschild spacetime, and do not take into account back-reactions, the incoming wave becomes

$$\psi_{in} = \begin{cases} \frac{Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)}{\sqrt{8\pi^2\omega}} \frac{e^{i(\omega/\kappa) \ln(v_0 - v)}}{r} & v < v_0 \\ 0 & v > v_0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $v = t + r_*$, $u = t - r_*$ and r_* is the *tortoise* coordinate. The *in* states for the two vacua are related by a Bogoliubov transformation with coefficients α and β

$$|\alpha_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 . \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq. (6) into the Wronskian relation $\sum_{\omega'} [|\alpha_{\omega\omega'}|^2 - |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2] = 1$ one obtains again the Planckian distribution.

If in Eq. (5) we make the formal replacement ⁵ $\frac{2\pi\omega}{\kappa} \rightarrow \ln(1 + n_E^{-1}(\omega))$, where $n_E(\omega)$ is the microcanonical number density in Eq. (4), the coefficients in the Bogoliubov transformation satisfy

$$|\alpha_{\omega\omega'}|^2 = e^{\ln(1 + n_E^{-1}(\omega))} |\beta_{\omega\omega'}|^2 , \quad (7)$$

which gives the required number density n_E . The wave so obtained does not solve the same wave equation as the wave in Eq. (5), but it will solve a wave equation in a background whose metric includes back-reaction and non-local effects.

As an example of the differences between the predictions of the two approaches (thermal vs. microcanonical) we consider 4-dimensional Schwarzschild black holes with microcanonical density $\Omega(M) = e^{4\pi M^2}$ (in this case $E = M$). We then find for the number density

$$n_M(\omega) = \sum_{l=1}^{M/\omega} e^{-8\pi M l \omega + 4\pi l^2 \omega^2} . \quad (8)$$

Using the expressions for n_{β_H} and n_M in Eq. (8), we can compare the decay rates for radiating black holes predicted by the two theories⁵. We find for the thermodynamical rate

$$\frac{dM}{dt} \sim -\frac{1}{M^2} , \quad (9)$$

and for the microcanonical rate

$$\frac{dM}{dt} \sim -M^6 . \quad (10)$$

The thermodynamical theory predicts that it takes a finite time for the black hole to completely evaporate, thus precluding the presence of primordial black holes in our Universe. The microcanonical rate, on the other hand, predicts that primordial, microscopic black holes could still be around today, since the decay rate goes to zero as a power of M .

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-96ER40967.

References

1. S.W. Hawking, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199; G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2752.
2. B. Harms and Y. Leblanc, *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 2334 (1992); *D* **47**, 2438 (1993); *Ann. Phys.* **244**, 262 (1995); **244**, 272 (1995); **242**, 265 (1995).
3. R. Casadio, B. Harms, Y. Leblanc and P.H. Cox, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 814 (1997); *D* **56**, 4948 (1997).
4. R. Casadio, B. Harms and Y. Leblanc, *Statistical mechanics of Kerr-Newman dilaton black holes and the bootstrap condition*, gr-qc/9706005.
5. R. Casadio, B. Harms and Y. Leblanc, *Microfield dynamics of black holes*, in preparation.
6. S. Fubini, A.J. Hanson and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 1732 (1973); J. Dethlefsen, H.B. Nielsen and H.C. Tze, *Phys. Lett.* **48B**, 48 (1974); A. Strumia and G. Venturi, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **13**, 337 (1975).
7. R. Hagedorn, *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **3**, 147 (1970); S. Frautschi, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 2821 (1971); R.D. Carlitz, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 3231 (1972).
8. Y. Leblanc, in preparation.